

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
Matthew Rawlinson (SBN 231890)  
Daniel R. Gherardi (SBN 317771)  
140 Scott Drive  
Menlo Park, California 94025  
Telephone: +1.650.328.4600  
Facsimile: +1.650.463.2600  
*matt.rawlinson@lw.com*  
*daniel.gherardi@lw.com*

Michele D. Johnson (SBN 198298)  
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor  
Costa Mesa, California 92626  
Telephone: +1.714.540.1235  
Facsimile: +1.714.755.8290  
*michele.johnson@lw.com*

Colleen C. Smith (SBN 231216)  
12670 High Bluff Drive  
San Diego, California 92130  
Telephone: +1.858.523.5400  
Facsimile: +1.858.523.5450  
*colleen.smith@lw.com*

Gavin M. Masuda (SBN 260480)  
505 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000  
San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: +1.415.391.0600  
Facsimile: +1.415.395.8095  
*[gavin.masuda@lw.com](mailto:gavin.masuda@lw.com)*

### *Attorneys for Defendants*

[Additional Counsel on Signature Page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

**IN RE ENPHASE ENERGY, INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION**

LEAD CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04623-BLF

**JOINT NOTICE OF RELATED CASE  
AND STIPULATION AND  
[PROPOSED] ORDER ON  
CONSOLIDATION**

1           **WHEREAS**, on November 18, 2020, Plaintiff Anthony R. Buch (“Plaintiff Buch”) filed  
2 a verified stockholder derivative complaint purportedly on behalf of Enphase Energy, Inc.  
3 (“Enphase”) against Badrinarayanan Kothandaraman, Eric Branderiz, Mandy Yang, Steven J.  
4 Gomo, Benjamin Kortlang, Richard Mora, and Thurman J. Rodgers (collectively, the “Individual  
5 Defendants”), and with Enphase, “Defendants”), captioned *Buch v. Kothandaraman*, Case No.  
6 3:20-cv-08131-AGT (the “Buch Action”);

7           **WHEREAS**, the allegations in the Buch Action are substantially similar to the  
8 allegations raised in a securities class action captioned *Hurst v. Enphase Energy, Inc.*, Case No.  
9 5:20-cv-04036-BLF (the “Hurst Action”), as well as two previously filed verified derivative  
10 actions, which, on November 20, 2020, the Court consolidated and appointed co-counsel (the  
11 “Consolidated Action”) (Dkt. No. 31) (the “Order”);

12           **WHEREAS**, pursuant to Paragraph 9 of the Court’s Order, Defendants and Plaintiff  
13 Buch, respectfully submit this Joint Notice of Related Case and Stipulation on Consolidation and  
14 contend that this case should be related to and formally consolidated into the Consolidated  
15 Action;

16           **WHEREAS**, pursuant to paragraph 10 of the Court’s Order, Defendants and Plaintiff  
17 Buch agree that the terms of the order entered in *Shen v. Kothandaraman*, Case No. 3:20-cv-  
18 04623-CRB (the “Shen Action”), on September 24, 2020 staying the Shen Action pending  
19 resolution of the motion to dismiss in the related Hurst Action, shall also apply to the Buch  
20 Action. For the avoidance of doubt, Defendants shall not be required, during the pendency of the  
21 stay, to respond to any complaint or amended complaint filed in the Consolidated Action, the  
22 Buch Action, or any action later consolidated into the Consolidated Action;

23           **WHEREAS**, the Individual Defendants hereby accept service of the complaint filed in the  
24 Buch Action, provided, however, that the acceptance of service shall not waive any rights, claims  
25 and defenses other than a defense as to the sufficiency of service;

26           **WHEREAS**, this Joint Notice and Stipulation is without prejudice to any and all other  
27 defenses Defendants may assert in this or any of the above-referenced actions, including, but not  
28 limited to, jurisdictional defenses such as *forum non conveniens* and improper venue, or any

1 other procedural or substantive challenge to the Consolidated Action, and without prejudice to  
2 any and all claims Plaintiff Buch may assert.

3 **IT IS ACCORDINGLY STIPULATED**, by and between the undersigned counsel for  
4 Defendants and Plaintiff Buch, and subject to approval by the Court, that:

5 1. The stay of proceedings ordered in the Shen Action is temporarily lifted for the  
6 sole and limited purpose of the filing of, and ruling on, this stipulation and [proposed] order.

7 2. The Buch Action is hereby consolidated into the Consolidated Action for all  
8 purposes, including pre-trial proceedings and trial, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure  
9 42(a).

10 3. All other terms of the Order in the Consolidated Action, Dkt. No. 31, shall  
11 continue to apply to the Consolidated Action now including the Buch Action.

13 Dated: December 1, 2020

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

15 By /s/ Colleen C. Smith  
16 Colleen C. Smith

17 Attorneys for Defendants

18 Dated: December 1, 2020

EDELSON LECHTZIN LLP

20 By /s/ Eric Lechtzin  
21 Eric Lechtzin (SBN 248958)  
22 3 Terry Drive, Suite 205  
23 Newton, PA 18940  
24 Telephone: (215) 867-2399  
25 Facsimile: (267) 685-0676  
26 elechtzin@edelson-law.com

27 Attorneys for Plaintiff BUCH

28 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3), all signatories concur in filing this Joint Notice and  
Stipulation.

27 /s/ Colleen C. Smith  
28 Colleen C. Smith

## **ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

**The Hon. Beth Labson Freeman  
United States District Judge**